

## Spectral Gamma-Ray Borehole Log Data Report

Page 1 of 2

Log Event A

# Borehole 51-10-08

#### **Borehole Information**

Farm :  $\underline{TX}$  Tank :  $\underline{TX-110}$  Site Number :  $\underline{299-W15-104}$ 

**N-Coord**: 41,820 **W-Coord**: 75,889 **TOC** Elevation: 671.17

Water Level, ft : Date Drilled : 9/30/1970

**Casing Record** 

Type: Steel-welded Thickness: 0.280 ID, in.: 6

Top Depth, ft. :  $\underline{0}$  Bottom Depth, ft. :  $\underline{100}$ 

#### **Borehole Notes:**

The driller's log does not mention perforating or grouting of this borehole; therefore, it is assumed that this borehole was not perforated or grouted. The casing thickness is presumed to be 0.280 in., on the basis of published thickness for schedule-40, 6-in. steel tubing.

# **Equipment Information**

 Logging System :
 2
 Detector Type :
 HPGe
 Detector Efficiency:
 35.0 %

 Calibration Date :
 10/1995
 Calibration Reference :
 GJPO-HAN-3
 Logging Procedure : P-GJPO-1783

### Log Run Information

Log Run Number: 1 Log Run Date: 3/15/1996 Logging Engineer: Bob Spatz

Start Depth, ft.:  $\underline{0.0}$  Counting Time, sec.:  $\underline{100}$  L/R:  $\underline{L}$  Shield:  $\underline{N}$  Finish Depth, ft.:  $\underline{12.0}$  MSA Interval, ft.:  $\underline{0.5}$  Log Speed, ft/min.:  $\underline{n/a}$ 

Log Run Number: 2 Log Run Date: 3/18/1996 Logging Engineer: Bob Spatz

Start Depth, ft.:  $\underline{99.0}$  Counting Time, sec.:  $\underline{100}$  L/R:  $\underline{L}$  Shield:  $\underline{N}$  Finish Depth, ft.:  $\underline{30.5}$  MSA Interval, ft.:  $\underline{0.5}$  Log Speed, ft/min.:  $\underline{n/a}$ 

Log Run Number: 3 Log Run Date: 3/19/1996 Logging Engineer: Bob Spatz

Start Depth, ft.: 31.5 Counting Time, sec.: 100 L/R: L Shield: N Finish Depth, ft.: 11.0 MSA Interval, ft.: 0.5 Log Speed, ft/min.: 100



## Spectral Gamma-Ray Borehole Log Data Report

Page 2 of 2

Borehole 51-10-08

Log Event A

## **Analysis Information**

Analyst: D.L. Parker

Data Processing Reference : P-GJPO-1787 Analysis Date : 12/9/1996

#### **Analysis Notes:**

This borehole was logged in three log runs. The pre- and post-survey field verification spectra met the acceptance criteria established for the peak shape and detector efficiency, confirming that the SGLS was operating within specifications. The energy calibration and peak-shape calibration from these spectra were used to establish the channel-to-energy parameters used in processing the spectra acquired during the logging operation.

Casing correction factors for a 0.280-in.-thick steel casing were applied during analysis.

The only man-made radionuclide detected in this borehole was Cs-137. The presence of Cs-137 contamination was measured almost continuously from the ground surface to about 22 ft, intermittently from 29 to 41 ft, and at the bottom of the borehole. Two regions of interest can be identified from the plot: between 2 and 20 ft and between 28 and 40 ft. The maximum Cs-137 concentration is about 5.3 pCi/g at the ground surface.

K-40 concentrations increase at about 47 ft.

Additional information and interpretations of log data are included in the main body of the Tank Summary Data Report for tank TX-110.

#### Log Plot Notes:

Separate log plots show the man-made (Cs-137) and the naturally occurring radionuclides (KUT). The natural radionuclides can be used for lithology interpretations. The headings of the plots identify the specific gamma rays used to calculate the concentrations.

A combination plot includes the man-made and natural radionuclides, the total gamma derived from the spectral data, and the Tank Farms gross gamma log. The gross gamma plot displays the latest available digital data. No attempt has been made to adjust the depths of the gross gamma logs to coincide with the SGLS data.

Uncertainty bars on the plots show the statistical uncertainties for the measurements as 95-percent confidence intervals. Open circles on the plots give the MDL. The MDL of a radionuclide represents the lowest concentration at which positive identification of a gamma-ray peak is statistically defensible.